



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

517
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/621,147

07/16/2003

Robert Ian Gresham

18065

1214

:26794 7590 04/20/2007
TYCO TECHNOLOGY RESOURCES
4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD, SUITE 140
WILMINGTON, DE 19808-2952

EXAMINER

CAVALLARI, DANIEL J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2836

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

04/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/621,147	Applicant(s) GRESHAM, ROBERT IAN	
	Examiner Daniel J. Cavallari	Art Unit 2836	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/26/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/29/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that "Miki... fails to disclose switching functionality, including a biasing transistor (305,306) from a first circuit portion (401) being coupled at its base to a base of a corresponding biasing transistor from a second circuit portion (402) and to a voltage source."

The examiner respectfully disagrees and points out that in Figure 10, the biasing transistor (305, 306) coupled at its base to a base of a corresponding third transistor of the second circuit portion (402) and to a control voltage source (309) as drawn via the rest of the circuitry comprising transistors 301-304, lines I_{10a} & I_{20a} and I_{10b} & I_{20b} and 301'-304'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, & 7- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miki et al. (US 5,396,131).

In regard to Claims 1 & 9

Miki et al. (hereinafter referred to as Miki)

- A first circuit portion (401) corresponding to a first input port, read on by VA1 & VA2 (Channel 1) (See Figure 10).
- A second circuit portion (402) corresponding to a second input port, read on by the input to transistor gates 301' & 302' (Channel 2) (See Figure 10).
- An output port, read on by I_{10} & I_{20} (See Figure 10).
- Wherein each of the first and second circuit portions includes at least one first transistor, read on by a first differential amplifier (301 and 301') providing a portion of an isolation channel, at least a second transistor, read on by a second differential amplifier (303 and 303') providing a portion of a transmit channel, and two third transistors for providing a control bias which selects an input, read on by 305 & 306 and its equivalent in circuit 402 (See Figure 10) coupled at its base

Art Unit: 2836

to a base of a corresponding third transistor (via lines I_{10} & I_{20}) and to control voltage source (309).

In regard to Claim 3

- The third transistors (305, 306, and corresponding transistors for circuit 402) of the first and second portions provides a control bias for selecting which of the first and second input ports are coupled to the output port (I_{10} & I_{20}).

In regard to Claim 4, 7, & 8

- The at least one first transistor (301) comprises two transistors (301 & 302) having emitters coupled to each other and coupled to a collector of the third transistor (305) (See Figure 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miki et al. and Limberg (US 3,798,376).

Art Unit: 2836

Incorporating all arguments above of the switching device taught by Miki, Miki further teaches the use of solid state devices (See Figure 10), but fails to explicitly teach the circuit formed on an integrated circuit.

Limberg teaches solid state components integrated on an integrated circuit (See Column 2, Lines 13-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the switching circuit of Miki into an integrated circuit as taught by Limberg. The motivation would have been the reduced size and weight, increased reliability and economic advantages offered by integrated circuits as opposed to discrete components (See Limberg, column 2, Lines 13-26).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miki et al. and Hester (US 4,460,873).

Incorporating all arguments above of the switching device taught by Miki, Miki teaches amplifiers comprising two transistors but fails to teach them comprising three transistors. Hester teaches the use of amplifiers comprising three transistors in which a Darlington pair (as taught by Miki et al.) is incorporated with two other transistors (96 & 98) in which to create a high gain operational amplifier (See Hester, Figure & Column 4, Lines 25-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the high gain operational amplifier as taught by

Art Unit: 2836

Hester with the switch circuit of Miki et al. The motivation would have been to provide a more powerful amplifier capable of outputting better gains.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Cavallari whose telephone number is (571)272-8541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Cavallari

April 13, 2007


CHAU N. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER